From: Souki, Jesse K.

To: 'Renee.Marler@dot.gov'

CC: Woo, Donna M; Kitaoka, Don S

Sent: 12/1/2009 4:30:16 PM

Subject: RE: TRNS (1) Late DEIS Comments Letters and (2) Section 4(f) Chapter of FEIS for Honolulu

High-Capacity Transit Corridor Project

CONFIDENTIAL AND PRIVILEGED COMMUNICATION

Rene,

I hope you had a restful and enjoyable Thanksgiving holiday.

I am following up on Rapid Transit Division's (RTD) Section 4(f) responses to FTA's comments sent by Faith Miyamoto to Ted Matley and Raymond Sukys on November 8, 2009.

Since that time, FTA has not responded to RTD with a clear answer on how it wants RTD to approach Section 4(f). It has been informally suggested to RTD that the attorneys at headquarters do not agree that the least overall harm approach is correct and that the EIS needs to evaluate an alternative that has no impact on Section 4(f) resources. As you might guess, RTD is very concerned, because, among other things, (i) a least overall harm analysis is allowed under the CFR's, (ii) alternatives were already raised and dismissed during the alternatives analysis, and (iii) RTD does not understand how an alternative that fails to meet purpose and need should be considered a prudent and feasible alternative.

Is there anything we can do to facilitate the process of addressing Section 4(f)? RTD would like the opportunity to meet with whoever has concerns to discuss the Section 4(f) chapter. Ed Kussy, Esq., from Nossaman, is also available upon Corporation Counsel's request, to discuss the guidance that he provided in drafting this section.

On a related matter, could you tell me who at FTA will be reviewing the document for legal sufficiency? I assumed that it would be you.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jesse K. Souki

Deputy Corporation Counsel City and County of Honolulu

Tel.: (808) 768-5135

This e-mail is intended solely for the person or entity to which it is addressed and may contain confidential and/or privileged information. Any review, dissemination, copying, printing or other use of this e-mail by persons or entities other than the addressee is prohibited. If you have received this e-mail in error, please contact the sender immediately

and delete the material from any computer.

From: Renee.Marler@dot.gov [mailto:Renee.Marler@dot.gov]

Sent: Tuesday, November 24, 2009 11:22 AM

To: Souki, Jesse K.

Cc: Woo, Donna M; Kitaoka, Don S

Subject: RE: TRNS (1) Late DEIS Comments Letters and (2) Section 4(f) Chapter of FEIS for

Honolulu High-Capacity Transit Corridor Project

CONFIDENTIAL AND PRIVLEDGED COMMUNITCATION

Jesse,

I wanted to get back to you on your two questions, below, as well as ask you a question of my own.

In response to your first concern regarding response to late comments, such as the AOAO, you may already be aware that we have taken the position that late comments will not be specifically included in the DEIS. FTA is finalizing a response to AOAO now that will include language to this effect:

The Federal Transit Administration (FTA) issues a DEIS only when it is satisfied that the document complies with National Environmental Policy Act requirements. The public comment period began on November 21, 2008. Due to the high degree of public interest in this project, FTA extended the comment period on the DEIS for 30 days. Additionally, five public hearings on the DEIS were held at local venues during the public comment period. The public comment period closed on February 6, 2009. I have been advised that your association did not formally file comments on the DEIS during the comment period. FTA received extensive comments on the DEIS. It is my understanding that members of your organization also communicated your concerns to City of Honolulu Department of Transportation Services representatives on October 15, 2009. Please be assured that all substantive issues developed in comments on the DEIS will be addressed in the Final Environmental Impact Statement (FEIS).

I apologize for any confusion in prior communications, and I hope this addresses your concerns.

In regard to your second concern, FTA is reviewing the City's responses to FTA comments on 4 (f). We will be getting back to you on these soon. We'll be communicating more about this next week, I expect.

Finally, we were concerned today to see a news report in the Honolulu Advertiser on the Governor's intent to conduct a lengthy review of the FEIS.

http://www.honoluluadvertiser.com/article/20091124/NEWS01/911240347/Lingle+promises+thorough+review+of+Honolulu+rail+project>/www.honoluluadvertiser.com/article/20091124/NEWS01/911240347/Lingle+promises+thorough+review+of+Honolulu+rail+project

I would be very interested in understanding the Governor's role in the approval of the FEIS. Could you get back to me on this issue right away?

Thanks very much,

Renee

Renee M. Marler

Regional Counsel

Federal Transit Administration, Region IX

201 Mission Street, Suite 1650

San Francisco, CA 94941

415-744-3133

Renee.Marler@dot.gov

From: Souki, Jesse K. [mailto:jsouki@honolulu.gov]

Sent: Tuesday, November 17, 2009 6:28 PM

CONFIDENTIAL AND PRIVILEGED COMMUNICATION

Renee,

To: Marler, Renee (FTA)

Cc: Woo, Donna M; Kitaoka, Don S

Subject: TRNS (1) Late DEIS Comments Letters and (2) Section 4(f) Chapter of FEIS for Honolulu High-Capacity Transit Corridor Project

Please provide some quidance on the following two issues.

[1] Today, the project team was sent a copy of the attached letter, dated October 29, 2009. The letter is from the association of apartment owners (AOAO) of two condominium projects along the proposed transit route. The AOAOs comment on various parts of the Draft EIS. Ted Matley directed the project team to include the comment letter and response in the Final EIS. According to Ted, this direction came from FTA legal staff.

We have concerns regarding this directive. First, the letter was received well past the comment period prescribed under NEPA and HEPA regulations. I am concerned about the legal effect this directive will have on the AOAOs' standing to sue under NEPA and HEPA. Second, and more worrisome, is the effect this directive may have on other comments received after the NEPA and HEPA comment periods. According to the project team, the City has received 100s of comments on the project since the close of comment period on the Draft EIS. Do those comments and responses become part of the Final EIS as well? How would that affect the legal standing of these individuals and entities?

Please let me know if there is anything I can do to better support our position that responses to untimely comments are made in writing, but not made a part of the Final EIS.

[2] The project team received comments from the FTA regarding the Final EIS. As you are aware, the project team needed clarification on some of the FTA's comments. See Attached E-Mail. In addition, the project team hoped to clarify its approach to Section 4(f) as recommended by its consultant Nossaman LLP and in particular, Ed Kussy, Esq.

Today, Ted told the project team that the FTA's D.C. attorneys still disagree with the approach taken for Section 4(f). I was not in that telephone conference this afternoon, but the project team suggested that it might help if our attorneys met with the FTA attorneys face-to-face in D.C.

Do you think this will expedite FTA's review process? Would a conference call accomplish the same? The project team is willing to do what is necessary to expedite this process.

Thank you for your attention.

Sincerely,

Jesse K. Souki

Deputy Corporation Counsel City and County of Honolulu Tel.: (808) 768-5135

This e-mail is intended solely for the person or entity to which it is addressed and may contain confidential and/or privileged information. Any review, dissemination, copying, printing or other use of this e-mail by persons or entities other than the addressee is prohibited. If you have received this e-mail in error, please contact the sender immediately and delete the material from any computer.